DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 406 217 SE 059 956

AUTHOR Bowen, Brent D.

TITLE Interactive Learning: The Casewriting Method as an

Entire Semester Course for Higher Education.

INSTITUTION Nebraska Univ., Omaha. Aviation Inst.

REPORT NO UNDOAI-R-94-1

PUB DATE Jan 94

NOTE 54p.; For the teacher's manual, see SE 059 957.

AVAILABLE FROM Aviation Institute, University of Nebraska at Omaha,

60th and Dodge Streets, Omaha, NE 68182-0508

(\$7.50).

PUB TYPE Guides - Classroom Use - Teaching Guides (For

Teacher) (052) -- Reports - Descriptive (141)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC03 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Aviation Education; Case Studies; College

Curriculum; *Course Content; Grouping (Instructional Purposes); Higher Education; Learning Strategies; *Peer Evaluation; Physical Sciences; Relevance (Education); Self Directed Groups; Space Sciences;

Student Evaluation; Teaching Methods

IDENTIFIERS Case Method (Teaching Technique); Nebraska

ABSTRACT

This guide explains the reasons for employing the case method as a tool in the academic discipline of aviation. It promotes the use of case writing as a unique opportunity to derive even further benefits from case analysis. The benefits to students of using case writing as a learning strategy include a focus on the strategy of a real situation; development of an ownership interest; and development of reasoning, decision making, judgment, group dynamic, formal written and oral communication ability, and organizational skills. Issues related to computerization, research, and internalization are also addressed. Among the topics covered are case writing guidelines, case components, time line for case writing for individual and group projects, case development, case presentations, case evaluations, peer evaluations, group self-evaluations, examples of case writing, and a breakdown of those sample cases. (DDR)



UNOAI Report 94-1

Interactive Learning: The Casewriting Method as an Entire Semester Course for Higher Education

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

B.D. BOWER

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have heen made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

Brent D. Bowen

January 1994

UNO

Aviation Institute University of Nebraska at Omaha

THE UNO AVIATION MONOGRAPH SERIES

UNOAI Report 94-1

Interactive Learning: The Casewriting Method as an Entire Semester Course for Higher Education

Brent D. Bowen

January 1994

UNO

Aviation Institute University of Nebraska at Omaha Omaha, Nebraska 68182-0414



University of Nebraska at Omaha Aviation Institute Aviation Monograph Series

Recent monographs in the series include:

96-3	NASA and Ethics: An Annotated Bibliography
96-2	The Image of Airport Security: An Annotated Bibliography
96-1	Concentration and Contestability in the Deregulated United States Airline Industry
95-2	The Nebraska Initiative for Aerospace Research and Industrial Development
95-1	Nebraska Space Grant Consortium: 1993-1994 Self Evaluation (no longer available)
94-5	Proceedings of the First Annual Nebraska Aviation Education Association Conference
94-3	Samantha Smith Memorial Exchange Between The University of Nebraska at Omaha and Riga Aviation University
94-2	Interactive Learning: The Casewriting Method as an Entire Semester Course for Higher Education Teacher's Manual
94-1	Interactive Learning: The Casewriting Method as an Entire Semester Course for Higher Education

To Obtain Reports

Complete this order form and include a check or purchase order made payable to the Aviation Institute for the amount of \$7.50 (U.S.) per report to cover the costs of printing, shipping, and handling. Please forward this request to: Aviation Institute, University of Nebraska at Omaha, 60th and Dodge Streets, Omaha, NE 68182-0508. Phone: 402-554-3424 or 1-800-3 FLY UNO, Fax: 402-554-3781, Internet: nasa@unomaha.edu

Name:		
Company:		
Address:		_
City:	State:	Zip:
Phone:	E-mail:	

Quantity	Report #	Unit Cost	Total Cost
		\$7.50	\$
		\$7.50	\$
		\$7.50	\$
		TOTAL ENCLOSED	\$



ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Dr. Brent Bowen is Director and Associate Professor, Aviation Institute, University of Nebraska at Omaha. Bowen attained his Doctorate in Higher Education and Aviation from Oklahoma State University and a Master of Business Administration degree from Oklahoma City University. His Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) certifications include Airline Transport Pilot, Certified Flight Instructor, Advanced-Instrument Ground Instructor, and Aerospace Education Counselor. Dr. Bowen's research on the development of the Airline Quality Rating was featured on ABC's Good Morning America, The Cable News Network, USA Today, the Wall Street Journal, and in numerous other national and international media as well as refereed academic publications. Dr. Bowen has in excess of 100 publications and presentations to his credit. He is also an active industry consultant, pilot, and former fixed base operator and air carrier operator. Bowen has been an invited expert witness before the U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Government Operations and has served as an invited speaker and panelist at the National Academy of Sciences. His professional affiliations include the Society for Case Research, University Aviation Association, Transportation Research Board, Clearinghouse for Research on Faculty, Aerospace Education Association and the Nebraska Aviation Education Association. Dr. Bowen has been recognized with awards of achievement from the American Marketing Association, The American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, the W. Frank Barton School of Business, the Travel and Transportation Research Association, and others. Additionally, Dr. Bowen has co-authored numerous successful grant proposals totaling in awards exceeding 3.5 million dollars. He has recently been named program director and principal investigator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) funded Nebraska Space Grant Consortium.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
INTRODUCTION
CASE WRITING GUIDELINE
COMPONENTS OF THE CASE 4
BREAKDOWN OF EXAMPLE CASES 8
CASE WRITING METHOD TIME LINE
SELECTING A CASE TOPIC
CASE DEVELOPMENT 14
CASE PRESENTATIONS
CASE EVALUATIONS
GROUP CASE PROJECTS
CASE WRITING METHOD TIME-LINE FOR GROUP PROJECTS 20
CASE PRESENTATIONS FOR GROUP PROJECTS 23
CASE EVALUATIONS FOR GROUP PROJECTS 24
WRITTEN CASE EVALUATIONS
PRESENTATION EVALUATION
PEER EVALUATION OF PRESENTATION
GROUP SELF EVALUATIONS
REFERENCES AND BIBLIOGRAPHIES
ADDITIONAL GUIDELINES
CASE WRITING EXAMPLE



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Dr. Robert H. Ross, Chair of the Department of Marketing and Small Business, W. Frank Barton School of Business at Wichita State University for his contribution to the first edition. Carol Zoerb and Laura Dickson, Reference Librarians and Assistant Professors, University of Nebraska at Omaha, prepared a special briefing with detailed documentation on utilizing library resources in case writing. Marla Holt, graduate research assistant and candidate for the Master of Business Administration degree, W. Frank Barton School of Business, Wichita State University, made significant contributions to the first monograph in editorial assistance and preparation of several sections of the manuscript including the Case Writing Guidelines for Students. Gail Scott, graduate assistant, University of Nebraska at Omaha, Aviation Institute, substantially contributed to the production of the first manuscript. Thank you to Rebecca Lutte, graduate assistant, University of Nebraska at Omaha, Aviation Institute, who made substantial revisions for the 1993 edition and coauthored the accompanying Teacher's Manual.



INTRODUCTION

Case study has been well received as a tool in the academic discipline of aviation as well as in numerous other fields. The case method was first regularly used as a teaching methodology in graduate business programs at Harvard University. Now case analysis and discussion is used at all levels of education including most introductory courses. Mini-cases have provided significant opportunities to bring "real world" situations to the classroom. Consequently, the case method has substantially enhanced the teaching of college courses, including aviation, business, education, engineering, health care, as well as many other academic disciplines.

Case writing provides a unique opportunity to derive even further benefits than are derived from case analysis. When applying the case writing methodology, students become enthralled in the strategy of a real and strategic situation. An ownership interest emerges as the process of writing the case evolves. The benefits to the process are numerous. Case writing allows the student to further develop the life long learning skills of reasoning, decision making, judgement, group dynamics, formal written and oral communication ability, and organization. Issues of computerization, research, and internalization will also be introduced.



CASE WRITING GUIDELINE FOR STUDENTS

There are many definitions and explanations of what a "case" is, and what purpose its study should serve. For the purpose of this endeavor, a case shall be defined as a report written in narrative form which describes a functional problem or issue and the alternative decisions towards the solution of the situation. According to Pletcher and Limbo, the "case study method is the process of investigation of a situation and the generation of new knowledge" (1984). A case is a factual account of a situation, and therefore, must be meticulously written with regard to verity. Bias must be avoided at all costs. The case should involve a time pattern with data and events presented in chronological order. This time structure in writing the case is needed in order to aid the reader in his/her understanding of the information presented. In addition, enough detail must be provided to allow the reader to fully understand the situation. The writer should be careful when making assumptions concerning the level of the reader's knowledge of the subject. The case should be written in such a manner that the reader can express an opinion even if no prior knowledge of the company or industry exists. This requirement means that the case should be quite informative on the details of the subject and the status of the industry. While sufficient detail is required, ordinarily the case should be relatively short. The reader should not be bogged down with superfluous information.



COMPONENTS OF THE CASE

There are two sections of the final project, the case and the case note. The case section includes; title page, introduction, history, environmental background, case situation, and strategic issue. The case note includes; case overview, case objectives, questions, general discussion, final comments, and references. An example of a case written in the required format, with all components can be seen following the additional guidelines section of this manual. The information below gives detailed instructions for each section of the final written case. In addition, a breakdown, by case section, of two example cases is included.

CASE

<u>Title Page</u> - A title page will be provided as the cover for the case. It should include the title, which should accurately represent the intended thesis/content of the case, and the author's name or names of all group members. This is the only place these names need appear.

<u>Introduction</u> - The introduction should be one or two paragraphs which creates interest and provides a brief overview of the topics. Issues or problems to be addressed should be presented. If applicable, it should also establish the time frame, and name and location of the company's involved. The introduction



will set the tone for the entire case. Be sure that the issues presented in the case are consistent with those presented in the introduction.

History - This section, approximately one page, will be a presentation of all appropriate background information pertinent to the case. Any information such as company history, past trends, etc., which will be necessary to understand the case should be included here.

Environmental Background - This is similar to the history, but includes only recent issues pertaining to the case. This may include recent developments in the case subject itself or comparative recent issues in the industry. It is also approximately one page in length.

The Case Situation - This will be the development of the case scenario which leads up to the strategic issue. It should present any information on the current status of the case subject that is necessary to understand the issues, including any statistical information or comparative analysis between companies, services, situations, products, etc.

Strategic Issue - This is the presentation of the main issue of the entire case.

This section should be concise, a few paragraphs. The issue does not necessarily have to be a problem statement. This section should be used only



to clearly explain the issue or problem, not to present a specific solution.

Discussion of the available options and any suggested solutions should be addressed in the questions section. Also, the strategic issue should be based on information which has previously been provided in the case, not on personal opinion or bias.

<u>Questions</u> - Questions will be provided here. They will be repeated and answered in the Case Note.

CASE NOTE

<u>Case Overview</u> - This will be a detailed summary (abstract) of the case. This is typically one page which provides the reader with enough information to develop a basic understanding of the case.

<u>Case Objective</u> - This is a list of at least five learning objectives intended to result from this case. This should represent the author's purpose in writing the case.

Questions - Offer four or more questions (with detailed answers included)
which address all major issues of the case. The questions should address the
intended learning objectives of the case. Alternative solutions and
recommendations should be included in this section. Detailed and complete



answers also should be included. The answers must clearly and comprehensively respond to the questions raised and be based entirely on previous information provided in the case. In addition, the questions should be listed without answers (for teaching purposes) on a separate sheet of paper before the case overview. (See the case example on page 33)

General Discussion - Provide additional information to facilitate a class discussion on the case. For example, you could include the actual outcome of the "real" situation, a data set for analysis, or additional issues related to your topic which were not previously presented. All information presented here should be unbiased.

Final Comments - If needed, use this section to discuss or present any additional information or observations which would not be appropriate for another section. This is the only section which may include personal opinion or bias.

References - Document all sources of information which were used to develop this case. Use the APA Manual of Style format. Further instructions regarding references can be found on page 30.



BREAKDOWN OF EXAMPLE CASES BY SECTIONS

Mutual of Omaha's Switch from Lear's to Falcon's

Critical Times for American Airlines

Introduction -stated length of time they operated Lear Jets -presented AA relationship to AMR (parent company)

-issue statement: analysis of decision to acquire Falcons -issue statement:
AMR faced with
management decisions
regarding financially weak
American

History

-detailed history of company's flight dept. -background on personnel involved in decision making -concise history of AA, including past revenues and losses

Environmental Background -presented current operating procedures of the flight department

-described recent developments in AMR, including proposed plans with other carriers

Case Situation -presented initial motivation to begin decision making process -presented comparative analysis of all aircraft considered -included current financial condition of AA and AMR

Strategic Issue

-presented the issue, did the the company make the proper decision regarding aircraft

selection

-presented the issue, what course of action should AMR take in regards to AA -also, proposed three strategies

-summarized economic -summarized events leading to Case status of industry and AMR the decision Overview -restated the issue -restated the issue -provided list of five -provided list of five learning Case learning objectives objectives Objective -presented four questions -presented three questions Questions and answers and answers -exp: Could AA reduce their -exp: What factors should be costs? How? What effects considered when deciding which may occur? aircraft best suits a company's needs? -present additional areas -present other related issues General as the effects of downsizing such as hidden expenses Discussion (maintenance, insurance, etc) on employment, communities, etc. -presented personal opinion -includes authors personal Final on alternative approaches to opinion of decision made Comments the problem, specifically foreign ownership



CASE WRITING METHOD TIME-LINE

The development of an appropriate TIME - LINE for the academic term in which case writing is applied can vary. The following is a suggested time-line for a traditional academic semester:

Week 1	Introduce the Concept
Week 2	Discuss Potential Topics
Week 3	Select a Topic
Week 4	Working Session on Problem Development
Week 5	Abstracts Due
Week 6	10 References Due
Week 7	Working Session on Draft
Week 8	1st Draft Due
Week 9	Review Components of a Case
Week 10	Working Session on Draft Revision
Week 11	Peer Evaluation of Drafts
Week 12	Working Session on Final Draft
Week 13	Working Session on Formal Presentation
Week 14	Presentations and Self-Evaluation
Week 15	Graded Case Returned - Review for Final

Week 1 - Introduce the Concept

Introduce the concept of case writing.

Week 2 - Assign Groups - Discuss Potential Topics

Students will be asked to brainstorm for topic ideas.

Week 3 - Select a Topic - Assign Roles

The student should have a topic selected by Week 3.

Week 4 - Working Session on Problem Development

Some class time should be taken to let the students work on problem development in case they encounter difficulty with this step. Review the components of the case and the case format.



Week 5 - Abstracts Due

Students should turn in a completed abstract by this time for the professor to review. The abstract consists of a one page summary of the case and should include the problem or issue which will be discussed.

Week 6 - 10 References Due

A list of ten <u>possible</u> references should be turned in at this time. References may include; books, articles, personal interviews, etc. Use this opportunity to ensure that there will be an adequate amount of information available on your topic to prepare an entire case.

Week 7 - Working Session on Draft

Class time should be devoted to help students work on their draft revisions.

Week 8 - 1st Draft Due

Draft should be turned in at this time for the professor to make comments and suggestions for improvement. The draft should be typed, in the proper format, and should contain all the components of the case and case note.

Week 9 - Case Components

After reviewing the drafts, the components of the case and case note will be reviewed and general suggestions made by the professor.

Week 10 - Working Session on Draft Revision

Class time should be devoted to work on revisions to the case draft.

Week 11 - Peer Evaluation of Draft

Draft of the case will be exchanged for students to evaluate each others work. This is a good opportunity to proofread for errors and overall content.

Week 12 - Working Session on Final Draft

Class time should be devoted to working in final draft.



Week 13 - Working Session on Formal Presentation

Class time should be devoted to working on the formal presentations. Further guidelines for the presentations are shown on page 17. This is a good opportunity to rehearse the presentation and check any visual aids.

Week 14 - Presentations and Self-Evaluation

Students will be expected to present their cases. The presentations will be evaluated by the professor and the other students in the class.

Week 15 - Graded Case Returned - Review for Final

Graded cases will be returned and students will receive information and instructions regarding the final assignment.



SELECTING A CASE TOPIC

The first step in preparing a case is to determine the case topic. The professor will have some instructions on how to generate topic ideas but the following are some questions to consider when selecting an appropriate case topic.

- 1. Is it a topic of interest to the individual or group?
- 2. Is there a clear problem or issue involved which can be addressed?
- 3. What knowledge or skills will be gained by focusing on this topic?
- 4. Is there enough information to a) provide a factual account of the subject, b) thoroughly understand the topic and related issues, and c) to make unbiased determinations of alternative courses of action to address the problems or issues involved?

Provided below are examples of cases written by students which meet the above criteria. The case titles and a brief description of the content is included.

A Twenty Million Dollar Decision: Mutual of Omaha's Switch from Lear Jets to Falcon Jets

- Provided a detailed look at a corporate flight operation and presented the analysis and decision making process involved in aircraft selection.



Critical Times for American Airlines: Examining Measures
Which May Save a Falling Giant

- Presents the factors which effect the financial status of a major airline and discusses the alternative solutions available to management.

Denver International Airport

- Analyzes Denver's available options for airport expansion and resultant decision to build the new international airport.

CASE DEVELOPMENT

Once the topic has been determined, further development continues to prepare the case. According to Earl C. Young (1989), the case should be written in a way that allows alternative appraisal and interpretations of the situation, and consequently, elective courses of actions. The following steps, developed by Pletcher and Limbo are a guide to case development.

- 1. Select a company or topic to research, depending upon your preference.
- 2. Locate and scan the literature concerning your selection. Library personnel may be of assistance. As you read, define problems (issues) and influences upon them (people, circumstances, etc.). Is the situation interesting and will it aid in demonstrating a business technique in aviation?
- 3. Read the available literature and take notes. Keep specific page references on all relevant data. Prepare a bibliography of sources used.



- 4. Prepare a first draft of the case. It may be useful to review several case examples to establish the proper format. Your case will need two literary elements; story and plot.
- a. Story -- The facts of the case need to be presented in an understandable and accurate way. The time period must be clear, and occurrences should be presented in chronological order so that there is a logical time structure. The case must center around an important decision. As the case develops chronologically, add an expository structure, filling in descriptive background details which are relevant to the case.
- b. Plot -- Build some drama and suspense into the case. Think of the "cast of characters" in the story, and develop them individually and as a group. Develop the clash of ideas or the consequences of the decision. Make the case interesting to read. Use short, concise sentences. Write in a narrative style that makes the reader believe he is witnessing the events. Use the past tense. Put all data possible in table form within the main text.

 Number the tables consecutively, and use letters to label the appendices. 5.

 Prepare a solution to the case, using all techniques applicable to your topic.

 State the symptoms of the situation and note where failures may have occurred. State the alternative courses of action and the pros and cons of each. Prepare solutions for each alternative. Select the best alternative, and support it.
- 6. Cool off for a day or two. (Take a break)



- 7. Edit the case and solution. Ask yourself these questions:

 Is the case clear and logical? Is it accurate? Are all facts referenced? Is enough detail included to arrive easily at the proposed solution? Is it interesting? Is there a dynamic problem-solving situation?
- 8. Be sure to proofread. Check for grammatical errors. Review your solutions for ease of comprehension. Ask someone else to review and critique your materials.



CASE PRESENTATIONS

All students will be expected to present their case project (including the case note) to the class. The following are some guidelines to follow for a successful presentation.

- 1. Presentations should be approximately 10 minutes.
- 2. All case and case note components should be addressed.
- 3. An abstract should be provided to every member of the audience. The abstract is a one page summary which provides the reader with a basic concept of the case. It should be typed, contain the name of the case, the author's name, and enough copies should be provided for everyone.
- 4. The presentation should be as professional as possible. This includes attire.
- 5. Well before the presentation, try out any visual aids you plan to use.

 Make arrangements for any audio/visual equipment you may need.
- 6. REHEARSE A good, formal presentation requires proper planning and rehearsals far enough in advance so that the presenter can make any necessary changes.



CASE EVALUATIONS

Students will evaluated in several areas. Evaluations will be made by the professor and the students. The evaluations will include the following.

Written Case Evaluations

The written case will be evaluated by the professor in accordance with the grading summary found on page 25.

Instructor Evaluation of Presentation

The professor will evaluate your presentation in accordance with the grading summary on page 27.

Peer Evaluation of Presentation

The other students in the class, the audience, will evaluate your presentation. These evaluations are shown on page 28.



GROUP CASE PROJECTS

Students may be required to prepare a group case. The professor will divide the class into groups of approximately five students. Each group will be responsible for creating and presenting one, complete case. It will include all the previously mentioned components. It is the responsibility of the group to equitably divide the duties required to accomplish this task. The following divisions of labor are only suggestions which can be modified at the groups' discretion.

Suggested Group Member Roles:

Group Leader- responsible for coordination of the project including preparation of the final document. Will be the liaison between the group and the course instructor. Also will coordinate the formal presentation of the case to the class.

<u>Intro Writer</u>- responsible for writing the introduction and setting the tone for the case.

Background Writer- this person will write the background narrative.

Strategist- responsible for writing the problem statement and material leading up to the conclusion of the case narrative.

Research Coordinator- will gather all pertinent data and provide it to case participants. This may include interviews as well as library research. Will also prepare the bibliography.

Analyst- will develop the questions and answers [alternatives] to the case.

Regardless of how the roles are assigned, it is important for students within the group to communicate their activities and work closely together to ensure that all understand the focus and direction of the case.



CASE WRITING METHOD TIME-LINE FOR GROUP PROJECTS

The following is a suggested time-line for completion of a case as group project for a traditional academic semester:

Week 1	Introduce the Concept
Week 2	Assign Groups - Discuss Potential Topics
Week 3	Select a Topic - Assign Roles
Week 4	Working Session on Problem Development
Week 5	Abstracts Due
Week 6	10 References Due
Week 7	Working Session on Draft
Week 8	1st Draft Due
Week 9	Review Components of a Case
Week 10	Working Session on Draft Revision
Week 11	Peer Evaluation of Drafts
Week 12	Working Session on Final Draft
Week 13	Working Session on Formal Presentation
Week 14	Presentations and Self-Evaluation
Week 15	Graded Case Returned

Week 1 - Introduce the Concept

Introduce the concept of case writing to students.

Week 2 - Assign Groups - Discuss Potential Topics

The instructor will provide guidelines on how to determine groups. Students in the group will brainstorm for topics and discuss topic ideas.

Week 3 - Select a Topic - Assign Roles

The group should have a topic selected by Week 3 and their roles, as described previously, assigned.

Week 4 - Working Session on Problem Development

Some class time should be taken to let the students work on problem development in case they encounter difficulty with this step. Review the components of the case and the case format.



Week 5 - Abstracts Due

Students should turn in a completed abstract by this time for the professor to review. The abstract consists of a one page summary of the case and should include the problem or issue which will be discussed.

Week 6 - 10 References Due

A list of ten <u>possible</u> references should be turned in at this time. References may include; books, articles, personal interviews, etc. Use this opportunity to ensure that there will be an adequate amount of information available on your topic to prepare an entire case.

Week 7 - Working Session on Draft

Class time should be devoted to help students work on their draft revisions.

Week 8 - 1st Draft Due

Draft should be turned in at this time for the professor to make comments and suggestions for improvement. The draft should be typed, in the proper format, and should contain all the components of the case and case note.

Week 9 - Case Components

After reviewing the drafts, the components of the case and case note will be reviewed and general suggestions made by the professor.

Week 10 - Working Session on Draft Revision

Class time should be devoted to work on revisions to the case draft.

Week 11 - Peer Evaluation of Draft

Draft of the case will be exchanged for students to evaluate each others work. This is a good opportunity for someone outside the group to proofread the draft for errors and overall content.

Week 12 - Working Session on Final Draft

Class time should be devoted to working in final draft.



Week 13 - Working Session on Formal Presentation

Class time should be devoted to working on the formal presentations. Further guidelines for the presentations are shown on page 23. This is a good opportunity to rehearse the presentation and check any visual aids the group plans to use.

Week 14 - Presentations and Self-Evaluation

Groups will be expected to present their cases. The presentations will be evaluated by the professor and the other students in the class.

Week 15 - Graded Case Returned

Graded cases will be returned and students will receive information and instructions regarding the final exam.



CASE PRESENTATIONS FOR GROUP PROJECTS

All groups will be expected to present their case project (including case note) to the class. The following are some guidelines to follow for a successful presentation.

- 1. Group presentations should be approximately 20 minutes.
- 2. All group members will be expected to participate in the presentation.
- 3. All case and teaching note components should be addressed.
- 4. An abstract should be provided to every member of the audience. The abstract is a one page summary which provides the reader with a basic concept of the case. It should be typed, contain the name of the case, the names of all group members, and enough copies provided for everyone.
- 5. The presentation should be as professional as possible. This includes attire.
- 6. Well before the presentation, try out any visual aids you plan to use.

 Make arrangements for any audio/visual equipment you may need.
- 7. REHEARSE A good, formal presentation requires proper planning and rehearsals far enough in advance so that the group can make any necessary changes.



CASE EVALUATIONS FOR GROUP PROJECTS

Groups will be evaluated in several areas. Evaluations will be made by the professor and the students. The evaluations will include the following.

Written Case Evaluations

The written case will be evaluated by the professor in accordance with the grading summary found on page 25.

Instructor Evaluation of Presentation

The professor will evaluate your group presentation in accordance with the grading summary on page 27.

Peer Evaluation of Presentation

The other students in the class, the audience, will evaluate your group presentation. These evaluations are shown on page 28.

Group Self-Evaluations

All group members will be asked to evaluate the other members of their group based on their contribution to the project. An example of this evaluation can be seen on page 29.

Individual Participation

Each student's level of individual participation will be evaluated by the professor. This score will be based on the above mentioned evaluations.



WRITTEN CASE EVALUATIONS

Name -		Case Study Title -					
	Introduction: The introduction provided a brief overview of the topic and related issues.						
o	E	VG	G	AC	P	VP	
	quate num		vant facts v		d to provid	de all appropriate	
o	E	VG	_ G	AC	P	VP	
An ade	quate num		vant facts v ry in gener				
o	E	VG	_ G	AC	P	VP	
The int		external an	alysis of th subject was		, weaknes	ses, opportunities	
o	E	VG	_ G	AC	P	VP	
Strategic Issue: The identification of the main issue(s) in this case and the statement thereof was clear and convincing.							
o	_ E	VG	_ G	AC	P	VP	
Issues	identified i	in the case	were consi	stent with	the facts p	resented.	
o	_ E	VG	_ G	AC	P	VP	
Case Overview: A detailed summary (abstract) of the case was provided.							
. O	_ E	VG	_ G	AC	P	VP	
Teaching Objective: A list of learning objectives intended to result from this case were provided.							
o	_ E	VG	_ G	AC	P	VP	



<u>Quest</u> Quest	<u>ions</u> : ions propose	d addresse	d the prin	cipal issue	s of the ca	ase.
o	E	VG	G	AC	_ P	_ VP
	ers presented	l were con	nplete and	appropria	te given th	ne subject's
o	E	VG	_ G	AC	_ P	VP
Gener Addit	ral Discussio	<u>n</u> : ation was j	provided 1	to stimulate	e a group	discussion.
o	_ E	VG	_ G	_ AC	_ P	VP
Gener Organ	<u>ral</u> : nization of th	e informa	tion was p	orofessiona	1.	
o	E	VG	_ G	_ AC	_ P	VP
Gram	ımar and wri	ting style	were prof	ïcient.		
o	E	VG	_ G	_ AC	_ P	VP
Refer	rencing (whe	re applical	ole) was i	ntact.		
0	E	VG	_ G	_ AC	_ P	_ VP
Neat	ness and Tec	hnical For	m showed	d professio	nalism.	
0	E	VG	_ G	_ AC	P	VP
<u>Addi</u>	itional Comm	nents:				
		·				
E = VG G =	= Outstandin = Excellent = Very God = Good = Acceptable	95 % od 90 % 85 %	A- B			
P =	= Poor = Very Poo	65 %	D		·	



PRESENTATION EVALUATION

Name -	·	Case Study Title -				
_	Adequate information was provided for each component of the case and teaching note.					
o	E	VG	G	AC	P	VP
	tification of on present		issues was	clear and co	onsistent v	vith the
o	E	VG	G	AC	P	VP
	act which s nber of the		the case a	and the relat	ed issues v	was provided for
0	E	VG	G	AC	P	VP
The pres	_	as professio	nal (includ	ling attire) a	and the pre	esenter(s) was
0	E	VG	G	AC	P	VP
Audio/vi presentat		if applicable	e) were cle	ear, readable	and enha	nced the
0	E	VG	G	AC	P	VP
Each me	mber of th	e group (if	applicable) participate	d in the p	resentation.
0	E	VG	G	AC	P	VP
Addition	nal Comme	nts:				
	•				.	
E = E $VG = V$ $G = G$ $AC = A$ $P = PC$	Acceptable	90% A 85% I 75% (65% I	A A B			



PEER EVALUATION OF PRESENTATION

Please evaluate the following areas of the presentation. List the name of the case, date of the presentation, and the name of the presenter(s). Use the scale provided to rate the presenter(s) in the categories listed on the chart. You are also encouraged to include additional comments in the space below.

1 -	Outstanding
2	Excellent

- 2 Excellent
- 3 Satisfactory
- 4 Fair
- 5 Poor

Case Title:						
Date:		_				
Team Member	Appear- ance	Subject matter valid	Prepared well	Quality of research	Overall score	
·						
					·	
,						
						_



GROUP SELF-EVALUATIONS

Multiply the number of members in your group by 100 - assume that this is the total number of points you have to distribute. Then allocate these points to each individual group member (including yourself) in accordance to their contribution to the group effort. For example, if your group was comprised of 5 members you would have 500 points to distribute. If each of these five members contributed equally, you could give each member 100 points. If, however, everyone did not contribute equally, you must adjust your allocation accordingly - but you still must allocate a total of 500 points.

Group Member	Points
	Total Points
	(No. of Members X 100)



REFERENCES AND BIBLIOGRAPHIES

American Psychological Association) Manual format. A reference list cites works and information that specifically supports a particular paper. The purpose of referencing citations is to establish the author's credibility and to allow the reader to easily identify the source of the information used in the text. References which are cited in the case must appear in the reference list. In addition, each item in the reference list must be cited in the case. This differs from a bibliography. A bibliography is a list of sources for additional information on a specific subject. For example, suggested articles for further reading would be located in a bibliography not a reference list. A bibliography is not required for this case.

The APA style cites an author's work by using the author-date method. When a source is cited, the author's last name and date of the publication should appear in the text of the case in the appropriate place.

Example: In a recent study of airport congestion (Jones, 1990) a significant increase in....

If you are using the author's name in the text, cite only the year.

Example: In a recent study of airport congestion, Jones (1990) noted a significant increase in...

All sources which are cited in the text will appear on the reference list.

References should be listed in alphabetical order the by the author's last name.

References should be noted as follows:

Books: Authors or editors, Date of publication, Book title, Publication information.

Example:

Wells, Alexander T. (1989). <u>Air transportation: A management</u> <u>perspective</u> (2nd ed.). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.



If an article, chapter, or specific pages within the book is being referenced, include the name of the article or chapter after the date of publication, the editor's name prior to the book title, and pages after the book title.

Example:

Wells, Alexander T. (1989). Economic characteristics of the airlines. In A. Scanlon-Rohrer (Ed.), <u>Air transportation: A management perspective</u> (pp. 191-212). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.

Periodicals: Authors, Date of publication, Article title, Periodical Title and publication information.

Example:

Velocci, Anthony L. (1993, April 26). McDonnell Douglas posts record first-quarter earnings. <u>Aviation Week & Space Technology</u>, p.22.

An example of a reference list can be seen in the Lear case. For additional information on references and format, students should refer to the <u>APA</u>

<u>Manual</u>.



ADDITIONAL GUIDELINES

- 1. Case must be submitted on disk using WordPerfect 5.0\5.1, ASCII, or DOS Text.
- 2. Hard copy submitted should include a complete copy of all reference material.
- 3. For group projects, all participants will be accountable for the complete document i.e. proofing, flow, accuracy,.....
- 4. Consequently, plan enough time so that all team members may review the case before it is submitted. Be sure to proofread several times. Using someone outside the group to proofread the case can also be very effective.
- 5. Format of the case must follow the example set in the case which is provided.
- 6. The final project must include all components of the case and teaching note.
- 7. The final project should be stapled only. Do not include any type of report covers.



CASE

WRITING

EXAMPLE



CORPORATE TURBULENCE: THE SURVIVAL OF LEARJET

Prepared By:

Brent Bowen Robert Ross Joyce Standfast

Wichita State University 1991



INTRODUCTION

Beverly Lancaster, chairman of the board and chief executive officer of Learjet, Brian Barents, Learjet's president and chief operating officer, and their management team knew they had a crucial decision to make in February 1990. Learjet's parent corporation, Integrated Resources, had just filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy, and Learjet's executives would have to take charge of the company's destiny. Barents, who had joined Learjet in March 1989, was relatively new in the company when rumors concerning Integrated Resources weak financial condition began circulating in the middle of 1989. When he was hired, Barents knew he would have a struggle in the fight to make Learjet a profitable concern once more; however, he little dreamed he would be working under the additional burden of a failing parent company (Robinson, 1990).

HISTORY OF LEARJET

Learjet, Inc. is world-renowned as the manufacturer of premier business jets. The company was organized in the late 1950s under the direction and funding of William P. Lear, Sr. The company began its operations in Switzerland under its original name of the Swiss American Aviation Corporation. On June 8, 1962, Lear announced that the company's manufacturing operations would be moved to Wichita, Kansas, and its name would be changed to the Lear Jet Corporation in August 1962 (Neal, 1989). Lear's decision to move its production facilities to Wichita in 1962 reflected a desire on the company's part to take advantage of the technological and personnel resources available in Wichita. Initially funded by the profits of the oil boom of the 1910-20 era, the general aviation industry had evolved through the decades of the 1920's and 1930's and 1940's to the point where two of the "big three" manufacturers of general aviation aircraft were headquartered in Wichita. Walter Beech and Clyde Cessna, and the companies which carried their names, were survivors of a half century's worth of companies that had dabbled in the field of airplane manufacturing in the Wichita area. From 1920 on, men like Beech (who had served in the Army Air Corps in World War I) and Cessna (who was a farmer who built airplanes as a hobby) as well as individuals less well known had nurtured the aviation industry in Wichita. The real impetus to the growth of the industry were the contracts that were obtained by the Wichita aviation companies during World War II and the cold war which followed. For Beech and, to a lesser degree, Cessna the funding obtained from government contracts was substantial enough to continue to develop Wichita as a center for the general aviation industry (Miller & Skaggs, 1978).



Lear Jet began manufacturing business jets and gained a reputation for building the finest business jets in the industry. However, by 1967, the company was experiencing difficulties in meeting its financial obligations. William Lear sold the company to Gates Rubber Company, and the company's name became Gates Learjet Corporation (Anonymous, October 1990).

For the next several years, the company dominated the business jet market. Increased competition during the late 1970s, primarily from the Cessna Citation, caused Learjet to lose a substantial portion of its market share. By 1985, the Citation accounted for 41% of all business jet sales, and Learjet claimed only 14% (Ivey, 1986). This struggle in a competitive market, combined with a slump in the general aviation industry which caused difficulties for all manufacturers of small aircraft, weakened Learjet's ability to operate as a profitable concern.

Because of the lack of profitable operations in its primary business, Gates Rubber Company was unable to update its capital assets at Gates Learjet to effectively compete in the current business jet market. The combination of poor current performance and questionable future value led the Gates Rubber Company to accept bids on its Learjet stock. After several tender offers to buy its share of Learjet, Gates accepted an offer from Integrated Acquisitions in August, 1987, a subsidiary of Integrated Resources, Inc. The remaining stock in Learjet was acquired by Integrated Acquisitions later in the year through a tender offer (Anonymous, 1987).

COMPETITIVE ENVIRONMENT

The general aviation industry had offered prosperity to its members for several decades, until a combination of economic difficulties hit the industry in the 1980s. Product liability was having a disastrous effect on general aviation companies as lawsuit awards and lawyer fees increased by large margins. The general condition of the American economy entered a recessionary period, which caused the shareholders to question the need for business jets in their companies. For those companies who still wanted the convenience and prestige of owning a business jet, used jets could be purchased for significantly less than newly manufactured, comparable aircraft. In this instance, the success of the preceding years was actually hindering the company productivity now. The aircraft of the sixties and seventies that was built to last was lasting into the eighties, essentially holding down sales of new Learjets.

The overall weakness in the general aviation industry had taken its toll on the



firms in the industry. The combination of large capital expenditures, low profitability, and sluggish sales made independence impossible for the companies in the business. Out of the nine firms manufacturing business jets worldwide in 1984, only Learjet, Cessna, and Gulfstream Aerospace were still independently owned. Then, during 1985, Cessna and Gulfstream Aerospace were purchased by larger parents, General Dynamics and Chrysler, respectively, leaving Learjet the sole independently-owned manufacturer of business jets (Ivey, 1986).

LEARJET: A SUBSIDIARY OF INTEGRATED RESOURCES

Integrated Resources was a diversified financial services organization involved primarily in life insurance and investment programs. Learjet was a wholly-owned subsidiary, which Integrated Resources had purchased through Integrated Acquisitions primarily as a speculative investment. Integrated Resources owned no similar businesses and was apparently uninterested in learning about the new industry. With total assets valued at \$7,875,779,000 in 1988 (Integrated Resources: Annual Report, 1988), its investment in Learjet of approximately \$90,000,000 (Anonymous, 1987) was not substantial within the scope of overall business operations.

As a consequence, Integrated Resources invested neither time nor money into making Learjet a profitable concern. Under Integrated Resources's neglectful parenting, Learjet continued suffering from unprofitable operations. Integrated Resources never intended to keep Learjet for the long-term and planned to recover their investment within 5-7 years. Consequently, Integrated Resources began seizing opportunities to recover its investment in Learjet soon after the acquisition. In fact, Integrated Resources had recovered a substantial percentage of its investment in Learjet prior to its bankruptcy through the sale of subsidiaries such as the Combs-Gates fixed base operation chain.

The situation went from bad to worse when it became evident that Integrated Resources was financially weak and short of cash. In June, 1989, Integrated Resources defaulted on \$955 million in short-term debt (Sandler, 1989), making evident that the financial condition of Learjet's parent was extremely poor. Integrated made several attempts to regain its financial stability and restructure its debt, but the measures were too little, too late. After several tries to either improve the situation of the company or sell the firm to a prospective buyer, Integrated Resources declared Chapter 11 bankruptcy on February 14, 1990 (Robinson, 1990).



WILL LEARIET FIND A NEW COURSE TO NAVIGATE?

With this action, Learjet finds itself in its current, unenviable position of trying to salvage a future. As an asset of Integrated Resources, any action towards liquidation or sale of Learjet must be approved by the bankruptcy court. This fact, however, is far from the minds of the individuals who must decide the best course to take with Learjet. The executives of the company realize that the stability of Learjet has suffered during the past two and a half years due, in part, to the unfortunate decision to sell Learjet to Integrated Resources, a company not interested in making the company more competitive in the current tight business jet market. Another such mistake may bring the weakened Learjet to the same desperate situation that Integrated Resources is currently facing. With these facts in mind, Lancaster, the CEO, Barents, the president, and the other executives of Learjet must make a decision which will affect their own careers and the jobs of over 2,500 people (Directory of Corporate Affiliations, 1991).

OUESTIONS

- 1. What are the key environmental issues impacting general aviation during this time frame?
- 2. What are the available options for Learjet? What are the relative negatives and positives of each option?
- 3. Which of the alternatives would you recommend for Learjet?



CORPORATE TURBULENCE: THE SURVIVAL OF LEARJET

CASE NOTE

CASE OVERVIEW

Learjet, Inc. is world-renowned for manufacturing the finest business jets in the aircraft industry. Although the company dominated the premier business jet market until the 1980s, increased competition caused Learjet to lose substantial market share. This competition, along with a slump in general aviation, product liability concerns, and other economic problems, weakened Learjet's ability to operate as a profitable concern. Because of these difficulties, in August 1987, the company accepted a tender offer from Integrated Acquisitions (a subsidiary of Integrated Resources, Inc.).

Integrated Resources purchased Learjet primarily as a speculative investment. This new parent company owned no similar businesses and was apparently uninterested in learning about the new industry. With Integrated Resources' investment in Learjet being relatively immaterial, the parent company invested neither the time nor money into making Learjet a profitable concern. Under this neglectful parenting, Learjet continued suffering from unprofitable operations. This situation worsened when it became evident that Integrated Resources itself was financially weak and short of cash. After several tries to either improve the situation of the company or sell the firm, Integrated Resources declared Chapter 11 bankruptcy on February 14, 1990.

With this action, Learjet found itself in an unenviable position of trying to salvage a future. Learjet executives were faced with making decisions which would affect not only their careers, but the jobs of over 2,500 people as well.

On April 27, 1990, Bombardier was granted approval by the bankruptcy court to purchase Learjet. Involved in a variety of complementary processes such as trains, heavy equipment and Canadair business jets, this Canadian company appears to offer Learjet the best possible solution to the serious problem of its survival.



TEACHING OBJECTIVES

- 1. To provide students the opportunity to respond to the strategic issue of corporate survival in a period when corporate acquisitions, mergers, and/or dismantlement is frequent.
- 2. Allows the opportunity to consider numerous alternatives to a crisis situation.
- 3. Allows the student to consider the role played by the external environment in corporate decision-making.
- 4. Allows conclusions to be drawn concerning complex strategic issues from numerous perspectives, i.e. management, labor, buyer, seller.
- Challenges students to implement and defend the most appropriate decision in light of facts presented.
- 6. With outcomes being uncertain at best, this exercise encourages students to participate in a real world application much like they will deal with in the dynamic turbulent business environment.

OUESTIONS

- 1. What are the key environmental issues impacting general aviation during this time frame?
- A. PRODUCT LIABILITY. Product liability emerged as a significant issue during the 1970's and 1980's and currently remains as a vital hindrance to general aviation at the present time.
- B. COST OF FUEL. In the time period discussed in this case, the rising cost of fuel had a profound impact on the industry. This issue has fostered a demand for the development of more technologically advanced and fuel efficient business aircraft.
- C. GOVERNMENT REGULATION. Government regulation has become more stringent throughout this period. The manufacturing process has born increased regulation which has limited the capability for new entrance into this industry.



- D. AIRLINE DEREGULATION. Airline deregulation has both positively and negatively impacted the business aircraft marketplace. For example, many direct flights were cancelled by airlines, thus creating increased demand for business aircraft, consequently, new flights were added, which decreased demand for certain business aviation users.
- F. ECONOMY. The history of Learjet has paralleled increases and declines in the United States' economy. The demand for business aircraft is directly related to the performance of the United States' economy.
- G. INCREASED COMPETITION IN GENERAL AVIATION. Learjet was a pioneering leader in the business jet market. After their initial success, increased competition came from similar domestic general aviation firms such as Cessna, Beech, Rockwell, Aero Commander, and others. International competition further expanded the marketplace through business jet offerings from firms such as Canadair, British Aerospace, Falcon Jet, Israeli aircraft, and others.
- 2. What are the available options for Learjet? What are the relative negatives and positives of each option?
- A. BUYOUT BY MANAGEMENT. This option is similar to the employee buyout, except that only the top management would be invited to participate in the purchase. Again the buyers, in this case, the executives, would have to have access to sufficient funds to both purchase the company's assets and fund the necessary capital improvements. Ordinarily, this would require the borrowing of large amounts of cash, both by the management team and by the company itself. In order to take such large personal risks, management would have to be extremely confident in the future success of the company.
- B. EMPLOYEE BUYOUT. This solution has been in vogue during the past decade. This would require the employee work force and management to put up the money for the purchase of the company. The fact that the employees actually own a company tends to have a positive effect on the morale of the company. However, one of the difficulties in implementing this solution is that the employees must be willing and able to buy the company. This means that the employees must have access to enough cash, through both personal assets and financing, to purchase the company. Often in this type of buyout, the company is forced to borrow large amounts of money to fund any needed capital expenditures.



C. MERGER WITH A SIMILAR FIRM. This option has the benefit of offering the opportunity for a larger capital base. However, this choice also has several weaknesses which would become important in this particular situation. One problem with this solution is that a merger means that the operations of Learjet would be combined with those of another company. This would involve the problem of merging management, as well as work forces. In many cases, this is an unpopular option amongst managers.

Another consideration under this option is that, in a merger situation, negotiations are lengthy and cover the relative strengths and weaknesses of both businesses. The object of the negotiations, once the merger has been agreed to, would be to determine which sections of the businesses will be given priority when assigning the assets, such as the funds and management's time, of the company created in the merger. In order for a merger to be beneficial to both companies, it is best if the companies have relatively equal bargaining positions. If one company is in an obviously weakened position, the operations of its manufacturing plants and sales force would probably be listed lower on the priority list, which would make this option less appealing for its executives. The chance of Learjet finding a similar firm with which to merge is slim in the current environment. All of Learjet's direct competitors are currently owned by parent companies. A merger with a firm in another aspect of general aviation is possible, but the benefits for both organizations would have to be substantial for such an event to occur.

- D. MANAGEMENT ARRANGED SALE-DOMESTICALLY. This option would involve putting Learjet up for sale to attract the right American firm. An ideal purchasing firm would be experienced in the industry and have the ability and desire to invest sufficient funds into Learjet to make it an industry leader once again. The major drawback to this plan is that a parent company will remove some of the control from Learjet's management which some of the other options might allow them to keep.
- E. MANAGEMENT ARRANGED SALE-INTERNATIONALLY. This option is identical to the previous alternative of selling Learjet domestically, except that in this scenario, Learjet would be open to purchase by foreign companies as well. Considering the current American economy and the desire for worldwide expansion by many companies, this solution may offer Learjet more options than many other alternatives. The possible problems, in addition to the loss of control by Learjet's management, are the typical difficulties facing multi-national companies, such as cultural differences, changes in monetary rates, and unfavorable government regulations.



- F. DIVIDE LEARJET AND SELL IT IN SECTIONS. This solution is similar to the liquidation option, except that larger areas or divisions would be sold as a unit to willing buyers. Again, many of the employees would be laid-off, as the purchasing companies avoid duplication between the duties and abilities of their own employees and the employees of the segments purchased. More than likely, most of the management team would be relieved of their duties quickly after the sale of the assets. This option is best used by companies which have obviously segmented areas of operations and have management groups who wish to abandon the firm entirely.
- G. LIQUIDATE. This is an unpleasant option. This choice would mean that all employees are laid-off and the company is sold in an asset-by-asset process. More than likely, the price received on assets would be less than fair market in this type of sacrifice sale. However, liquidation would be a quick end to the problems of operating an unprofitable firm. This solution is most suited for companies which have little or no market potential as a whole and whose management wishes to be quickly finished with a bad situation.
- 3. Which of the alternatives would you recommend for Learjet?

Several of the alternatives are viable in the case of Learjet. The actual selection would depend on what opportunities were available in 1990. Considering this limitation of having to accept what the market offers, the following alternatives, listed in order of preference, would be suitable solutions for Learjet's current problem.

The best single solution, if possible, would be to sell Learjet domestically. Considering the lack of capital investment in the last several years in Learjet's operations, the company is in need of an immediate financial boost to update its equipment. This rapid cash outlay would be most available from an interested parent company who is anxious to enable Learjet to regain its market domination. Purchase by the right firm would give Learjet this needed influx of funds.

The next best solution, assuming no domestic firms fitting the profile of an appropriate parent are available, would be to sell Learjet on the international market. This option ranks below the option of the domestic sale only because of the additional problems in dealing with foreign parent companies. In many cases, these problems are only minor considerations, and can be dealt with easily once management has acknowledged their existence and the possible related problems.



The third most appropriate option is for Learjet to merge with a similar firm. This could give Learjet access to the needed funds to revitalize its operations, providing that the other firm has either a strong cash flow or a good credit rating. However, finding a firm which is willing to risk its entire financial health by merging with a company of low profitability and questionable future would be quite difficult. There is also the problem under this option of being dealt leftovers in the merger process due to Learjet's weakened bargaining position.

If none of the preceding alternatives are viable, the next option recommended is either the employee buyout or the management buyout. Although this option would mean that both the employees and the company would have to borrow money to finance the purchase and the rebuilding of capital assets, respectively, the company would still be able to function as a going concern. The employees would be able to remain at their jobs and Learjet could still continue to manufacture airplanes. The major problem with this alternative is that Learjet would have to service a significant amount of debt through income from its operations. Considering the past performance of the company, this would appear to be a large gamble to take with Learjet's financial health in the future.

The last alternatives would be to liquidate Learjet, either by dividing it into sections or by selling the assets one-by-one. These options should be exercised only if all other choices are unrealistic in the current market. Both of these alternatives would mean a halt in the operation of Learjet as a whole and the loss of most, if not all, of the employees' jobs.

GENERAL DISCUSSION

Bombardier has demonstrated its commitment to Learjet through significant investment in the capital assets of Learjet since the acquisition. Bombardier initiated substantial development of the Learjet physical facilities through immediately building a completion and flight testing center for the Canadair Regional Jet at Learjet's Wichita facility. Additional financial investment in the form of upgrading the production facilities at Learjet is being done which had been neglected in past years.

Bombardier, unlike the previous two parent companies to Learjet, has aircraft manufacturing as a primary line of business. Bombardier manufactures the Canadair line of business jets which are completely complimentary to the Learjet series. The Canadair jet would be a likely upgrade for present and future Learjet owners. They also own the Short brothers' regional turbo-prop manufacturing



company in Ireland. This company produces a popular regional airliner which has a substantial international market position. Bombardier is continuing to further their market share in the regional airline industry through the introduction of the 50-seat Canadair regional jet.

With this understanding of the aircraft industry, Bombardier will undoubtedly effect a superior business environment for Learjet. Thus, Learjet may begin taking measures to increase its competitiveness in the business jet marketplace.

AUTHOR'S COMMENTS

After Integrated Resources announced that it had filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy on February 14, 1990, Learjet Corporation chose to place itself up for sale on both the domestic and international markets. Gulfstream Aerospace, then a subsidiary of Chrysler, entered into negotiations to purchase Learjet. However, before negotiations could be finalized between Gulfstream and Learjet, Chrysler decided to sell Gulfstream, thus forcing them to drop out of negotiations with Learjet.

By April 10, 1990, Bombardier, Inc. announced its plans to purchase Learjet, and on April 27, 1990, approval was granted by the bankruptcy court (Anonymous, June 1990). Bombardier is a Canadian company which is involved in a variety of transportation equipment, including trains, heavy equipment, jet-skis, snowmobiles, monorails, and aircraft.

Within the aircraft industry, Bombardier made its first acquisition in 1986 when it purchased Canadair Ltd. from the Canadian government. Canadair manufactures the Canadair Challenger series business jet, which at a twenty passenger capacity has twice the potential load of a Learjet. Canadair also is developing a new Canadair 50 passenger Regional Jet, designed for the "thin service" commercial airline market.

In 1989, Bombardier made its second major aerospace acquisition when it purchased Short Brothers PLC from the British government. Short Brothers is a manufacturer of regional turboprop passenger aircraft as well as military freighters and trainers.

The astute acquisition ability of Bombardier has allowed them to build a transportation conglomerate in under twenty years. The addition of Learjet, according to Laurent Beaudoin, Bombardier's Chairman and CEO will allow them



to "broaden our business and forge a stronger presence in the world business jet market" (Anonymous, June 1990). Beaudoin continues, "By uniting the resources of Bombardier and Learjet, Bombardier will provide Learjet with adequate funds to give it the capital structure it needs to ensure its future and its growth" (Anonymous, June 1990). Bombardier would appear to offer Learjet the best possible solution to the serious problem of its survival.



REFERENCES

- Anonymous. A New Beginning: Montreal/ Wichita/ Tucson. Wichita, Kansas: Learjet Corporation. June 29, 1990. p. 1.
- Anonymous. "Gates Corporation to Sell Its Learjet Stake for \$56.8 Million." Wall Street Journal. August 6, 1987. p. 32, column 3.
- Anonymous. "Learjet, Inc." A History from Learjet Corporation. October 24, 1990. p 24.
- Directory of Corporate Affiliations. Wilmette, Illinois: National Register Publishing Company. Volume 1. 1991. p. 703.
- "Integrated Resources: Annual Report." New York: Integrated Resources. 1988.
- Ivey, Mark. "For Learjet, Merger May Be the Only Answer." Business Week. March 17, 1986. p. 87.
- Miller, Glenn, Skaggs, Jimmy Editors. Metropolitan Wichita, Past, Present, and Future. Published by Wichita State University, Regents Press of Kansas, Lawrence, 1978.
- Neal, Ronald D. "Development of the Lear Jet, Model 23". Journal American Aviation Historical Society. Fall, 1989. pp. 5-6.
- Robinson, William G., Vice President of Corporate Affairs, Learjet, Inc. Interviews on December 12 and 20, 1990.
- Sandler, Linda. "Integrated Resources Proposes Revamp of \$955 Million of Its Short-Term Debt." Wall Street Journal. June 20, 1989. p. B2. column 1.



REFERENCES

Bowen, B. & Ross, R. (1991). <u>Teaching aviation management through</u> <u>casewriting and analysis</u>. Kansas: The Wichita State University, National Institute for Aviation Research.

Pletcher, D. & Limbo, K. (1984). Case writing — all you need to know. Proceedings Midwest Case Writers Association, 83-95.

Young, E.C. (1989). Conducting workshops for first-time case writers.

Midwest Society for Case Research Conference Proceedings, 9-16.

Midwest Society for Case Research 1990 Proceedings, Chicago, IL. Edited by Charles H. Davis, University of Northern Iowa.





U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: Interactive learning: the casewriting method as an entire higher education/Brent D. Bowen	semester course for
Author(s): Bowen, Brent D.	
Corporate Source:	Publication Date:
University of Nebraska at Omaha, Aviation Institute	1994

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.

Check here
For Level 1 Release:
Permitting reproduction in
microfiche (4° x 6° film) or
other ERIC archival media
(e.g., electronic or optical)
and paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Aviation Institute

University of Nebraska at Omaha TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY Aviation Institute

University of Nebraska at Omaha TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES

INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Х



Check here
For Level 2 Release:
Permitting reproduction in
microfiche (4° x 6° film) or
other ERIC archival media
(e.g., electronic or optical),
but not in paper copy.

Level 1

Level 2

Documents will be processed as Indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Sign here → please Signature: DODDUM Organization/Address:

Aviation Institute 60thhDodge St.

Omaha, NE 68182-0508

Printed Name/Position/Title:

Brent D. Bowen, Director

Telephone: FAX:

(402) 554-3424

E-Mail Address:

unoai@unomaha.edu

(402) 554-3781 Date:

10-9-96

